

# Foundations of Modern Macroeconomics

Ben J. Heijdra  
University of Groningen

January 2002

## Problem set for Chapter 3

The questions with a star (★) are difficult.

### Question 1

[A variation on the Muth model] Assume that the market for a particular commodity is described by the following model:

$$Q_t^D = a_0 - a_1 P_t + V_t, \quad a_1 > 0, \quad (1)$$

$$Q_t^S = b_0 + b_1 P_t^e + U_t, \quad b_1 > 0, \quad (2)$$

$$Q_t^D = Q_t^S \quad [\equiv Q_t], \quad (3)$$

where  $Q_t^D$  is demand,  $P_t$  is the *actual* (market clearing) price,  $V_t$  is a stochastic shock term affecting demand,  $Q_t^S$  is supply,  $P_t^e$  is the *expected* price (i.e. the price that suppliers expect to hold in period  $t$ ),  $U_t$  is a stochastic term affecting supply, and  $Q_t$  is the actual (market clearing) quantity traded in the market. It is assumed that the two stochastic shock terms,  $U_t$  and  $V_t$ , are independent and normally distributed white noise terms (there is no correlation between these terms and both terms display no autocorrelation):  $U_t \sim N(0, \sigma_U^2)$  and  $V_t \sim N(0, \sigma_V^2)$ . Assume that expectations are formed according to the adaptive expectations hypothesis (AEH):

$$P_t^e = P_{t-1}^e + \lambda [P_{t-1} - P_{t-1}^e], \quad \lambda > 0, \quad (4)$$

where  $\lambda$  regulates the speed at which expectations adjust.

- (a) Interpret the equations of the model.
- (b) Derive the stability condition for the model. Explain both formally and intuitively what you mean by stability in this model.

Next we replace the AEH assumption by the assumption of rational expectations (the REH). Instead of (4) we use:

$$P_t^e = E_{t-1}P_t, \quad (5)$$

where the expectations operator,  $E_{t-1}$ , denotes that agents form expectations using information dated period  $t - 1$  and earlier. The information set of the agents thus includes  $P_{t-1}$ ,  $P_{t-2}$ , etc.,  $Q_{t-1}$ ,  $Q_{t-2}$ , etc., as well as knowledge about the structure and parameters of the model.

- (c) Derive expressions for equilibrium output and the price level for this case. Is the model stable? Explain.

## Question 2

Suppose that the stochastic process for  $Y_t$  is given by:

$$Y_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 E_t Y_{t+1} + U_t, \quad 0 < \alpha_1 < 1, \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$  are constants,  $E_t$  is the conditional expectation (based on the period- $t$  information set), and  $U_t$  is a stochastic shock term. We assume that this shock term features first-order autocorrelation:

$$U_t = \theta U_{t-1} + V_t, \quad 0 < \theta < 1, \quad (2)$$

where  $\theta$  is a constant and  $V_t$  is a white noise error term (with  $EV_t = 0$  and  $EV_t^2 = \sigma^2$ ).

- (a) Can you think of an economic example for which an expression like (1) arises naturally?
- (b) Compute the rational expectations solution for  $Y_t$ . *Hint:* use the method of undetermined coefficients by trying a candidate solution of the form  $Y_t = \pi_0 + \pi_1 U_t$  and computing those values for  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_1$  for which the candidate solution is the correct solution.
- (c) Compute the asymptotic variance of  $Y_t$ . Show that it depends positively on the autocorrelation parameter  $\theta$ .

## Question 3

[*The Cagan (1956) model*] Phillip Cagan (one of Milton Friedman's friends) was very interested in the phenomenon of hyperinflation. He suggested that hyperinflation could be studied

by looking at the demand for money equation. Assume that money demand, expressed in loglinear format, is given by:

$$m_t - p_t = \gamma - \alpha [\rho + E_t p_{t+1} - p_t] + u_t, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $m_t$  is the money supply,  $p_t$  is the price level,  $u_t$  is a stochastic (white noise) error term,  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are constants, and  $\rho$  is the *real* interest rate (assumed to be constant). All variables are expressed in terms of logarithms. Assume that the money supply process is described by:

$$m_t = \mu_0 + \mu_1 m_{t-1} + e_t, \quad 0 < \mu_1 < 1, \quad (2)$$

where  $e_t$  is a (white noise) error term and  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_1$  are parameters.

- (a) Explain why we can interpret equation (1) as a money demand equation.
- (b) Compute the rational expectations solution for the price level,  $p_t$ . Show that it can be written as a linear function of a constant,  $m_t$  and  $u_t$ .

### Question 4

[*Fiscal policy under rational expectations*] Consider the following log-linear model of a closed economy featuring rational expectations.

$$y_t = a_0 - a_1 [R_t - E_{t-1}(p_{t+1} - p_t)] + a_2 g_t + v_{1t}, \quad (1)$$

$$m_t - p_t = c_0 + c_1 y_t - c_2 R_t + v_{2t}, \quad (2)$$

$$y_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (p_t - E_{t-1} p_t) + \alpha_2 y_{t-1} + u_t, \quad (3)$$

$$g_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 g_{t-1} + \gamma_2 y_{t-1} + e_t, \quad (4)$$

$$m_t = \mu_0 + m_{t-1}, \quad (5)$$

where  $y_t$  is output,  $R_t$  is the nominal interest rate,  $p_t$  is the price level,  $g_t$  is an index for fiscal policy, and  $m_t$  is the money supply. Furthermore,  $v_{1t}$ ,  $v_{2t}$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $e_t$  are stochastic (white noise) shock terms affecting the various equations of the model. These terms are independent from each other, feature no autocorrelation, and are normally distributed with mean zero and constant variance, i.e.  $v_{1t} \sim N(0, \sigma_{v_1}^2)$ ,  $v_{2t} \sim N(0, \sigma_{v_2}^2)$ ,  $u_t \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2)$ , and  $e_t \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$ . All variables, except the nominal interest rate  $R_t$ , are measured in logarithms. The parameters of the model satisfy:  $a_1 > 0$ ,  $a_2 > 0$ ,  $c_1 > 0$ ,  $c_2 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_1 > 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha_2 < 1$ ,  $0 < \gamma_1 < 1$ , and  $\mu_0 > 0$ .

- (a) Interpret the equations of the model.
- (b) Derive the expression for the AD curve for this model. Denote the coefficients for the AD curve by  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ , etcetera, and define the (composite) shock term entering this equation by  $v_t$ . State the stochastic properties of  $v_t$ .

- (c) Find the rational expectations solution for output.
- (d) Is fiscal policy ineffective in this model? What does your conclusion imply about the validity of the policy ineffectiveness proposition (PIP)?
- (e) ★ Derive the rational expectations solution for the equilibrium price,  $p_t$ . Show that the price level moves one-for-one with the money stock.

## Question 5

Assume that the labour market is described by the following loglinear model:

$$n_t^D = \alpha_0 - \alpha_1(w_t - p_t) + \alpha_2 n_{t-1} + u_{1t}, \quad \alpha_1 > 0, 0 < \alpha_2 < 1, \quad (1)$$

$$n_t^S = \beta_0 + \beta_1(w_t - E_{t-1}p_t) + u_{2t}, \quad \beta_1 > 0, \quad (2)$$

$$n_t^D = n_t^S [= n_t], \quad (3)$$

where  $n_t^D$  is the demand for labour,  $w_t$  is the nominal wage rate,  $p_t$  is the price level,  $n_t^S$  is the supply of labour, and  $n_t$  is equilibrium employment. The shock terms in labour demand and supply are independent from each other, feature no autocorrelation, and are normally distributed with mean zero and constant variance, i.e.  $u_{1t} \sim N(0, \sigma_{u_1}^2)$  and  $u_{2t} \sim N(0, \sigma_{u_2}^2)$ . All variables are measured in logarithms. Expectations are formed according to the rational expectations hypothesis and  $E_{t-1}$  represents the objective expectation conditional upon the information set available in period  $t-1$ .

- (a) Interpret the equations of the model. Why does the lagged employment term ( $n_{t-1}$ ) feature in the labour demand equation? What do we assume about the income and substitution effects in labour supply?
- (b) Assume that the short-run production function can be written, in loglinear terms, as  $y_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 n_t$ , where  $y_t$  is aggregate output and  $0 < \gamma_1 < 1$ . Show that the labour market model (1)-(3) in combination with the production function gives rise to the Lucas supply curve (LSC). State and explain any stability conditions that may be required.
- (c) Derive the stochastic properties of the shock term of the LSC determined in part (c).

## Question 6

[*Liquidity trap, McCallum (1983)*] Consider the following log-linear model of a closed economy featuring rational expectations.

$$y_t - \bar{y} = \alpha_1(p_t - E_{t-1}p_t) + \alpha_2(y_{t-1} - \bar{y}) + u_t, \quad (1)$$

$$y_t = a_0 - a_1 [R_t - E_t(p_{t+1} - p_t)] + v_{1t}, \quad (2)$$

$$m_t - p_t = y_t - c_1 [R_t - R^{MIN}] + v_{2t}, \quad (3)$$

where  $y_t$  is actual output,  $\bar{y}$  is full employment output (assumed to be constant),  $p_t$  is the price level,  $R_t$  is the nominal interest rate,  $m_t$  is the money supply,  $R^{MIN}$  is minimum interest rate (which is attained in the liquidity trap), and  $u_t$ ,  $v_{1t}$ , and  $v_{2t}$  are stochastic (white noise) shock terms. These terms are independent from each other, feature no autocorrelation, and are normally distributed with mean zero and constant variance, i.e.  $u_t \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2)$ ,  $v_{1t} \sim N(0, \sigma_{v_1}^2)$ , and  $v_{2t} \sim N(0, \sigma_{v_2}^2)$ . All variables except  $R$  and  $R^{MIN}$  are measured in logarithms. To keep the model as simple as possible we assume that the money supply is constant over time, i.e.  $m_t = m$ . The parameters of the model satisfy:  $\alpha_1 > 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha_2 < 1$ ,  $a_1 > 0$ , and  $c_1 > 0$ .

- (a) Interpret the equations of the model.
- (b) Derive the expression for the AD curve and denote its coefficients by  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ , and  $\beta_2$  and its shock term by  $v_t$ . Explain what happens to the AD curve if the economy finds itself in a Keynesian liquidity trap.
- (c) Compute the rational expectations solution for output and the price level. Hint: use the method of undetermined coefficients and use trial solutions of the form  $y_t = \pi_0 + \pi_1 y_{t-1} + \pi_2 u_t + \pi_3 v_t$  and  $p_t = \omega_0 + \omega_1 y_{t-1} + \omega_2 u_t + \omega_3 v_t$ .
- (d) Show that the model is internally inconsistent, and the price level is indeterminate, if the economy is in the liquidity trap. Demonstrate that Pigou's suggestion, that consumption depends on real money balances, leads to a consistent model again.

### Question 7

Consider the following log-linear model of a closed economy featuring rational expectations.

$$y_t = a_0 - a_1 r_t + a_2(m_t - p_t) + v_{1t}, \tag{1}$$

$$m_t - p_t = c_0 + c_1 y_t - c_2 R_t + v_{2t}, \tag{2}$$

$$y_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1(p_t - E_{t-1} p_t) + \alpha_2 y_{t-1} + \alpha_3 k_t + u_t, \tag{3}$$

$$k_{t+1} = \gamma_1 k_t + \gamma_2 r_t, \tag{4}$$

$$m_t = \mu_0 + \mu_1 m_{t-1} + \mu_2 y_{t-1} + e_t, \tag{5}$$

$$r_t \equiv R_t - E_{t-1}(p_{t+1} - p_t), \tag{6}$$

where  $y_t$  is output,  $r_t$  is the *real* interest rate,  $m_t$  is the money supply,  $p_t$  is the price level,  $R_t$  is the nominal interest rate, and  $k_t$  is the capital stock. Furthermore,  $v_{1t}$ ,  $v_{2t}$ ,  $u_t$  and  $e_t$  are stochastic (white noise) shock terms affecting the various equations of the model. These terms are independent from each other, feature no autocorrelation, and are normally distributed with mean zero and constant variance, i.e.  $v_{1t} \sim N(0, \sigma_{v_1}^2)$ ,  $v_{2t} \sim N(0, \sigma_{v_2}^2)$ ,  $u_t \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2)$ , and  $e_t \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$ . All variables, except the various interest rates ( $r_t$  and

$R_t$ ) are measured in logarithms. The parameters of the model satisfy:  $a_1 > 0$ ,  $a_2 \geq 0$ ,  $c_1 > 0$ ,  $c_2 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_1 > 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha_2 < 1$ ,  $\alpha_3 > 0$ ,  $0 < \gamma_1 < 0$ ,  $\gamma_2 < 0$ , and  $0 < \mu_1 \leq 1$ .

- (a) Interpret the equations of the model.
- (b) Derive the expression for the AD curve and denote its coefficients by  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ , and  $\beta_2$  and its shock term by  $v_t$ .
- (c) Show that the expectational gap,  $p_t - E_{t-1}p_t$ , only depends on the shock terms of AD, AS, and the money supply rule (i.e.  $v_t$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $e_t$ ) but not on the parameters of the money supply rule (i.e.  $\mu_0$ ,  $\mu_1$ , and  $\mu_2$ ).
- (d) ★ Characterize the rational expectations solution for the model and show that the *policy ineffectiveness proposition* (PIP) does not hold, unless the real balance effect in the IS curve is absent.

McCallum (1980) argues that stabilization policy is not about stabilizing actual output ( $y_t$ ) itself but rather about stabilizing the deviation of output relative to *capacity* output ( $y_t - \bar{y}_t$ ). He suggests that in the context of the present model, capacity output should be measured as follows:

$$\bar{y}_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_2 \bar{y}_{t-1} + \alpha_3 k_t + u_t. \quad (7)$$

- (e) Can you give a rationale for the expression in (7)?
- (f) Show that the reinterpreted PIP holds in the model because the path for  $y_t - \bar{y}_t$  does not depend on the parameters of the money supply rule.

## Question 8

Consider the following log-linear model of a closed economy featuring rational expectations.

$$y_t = a_0 - a_1 r_t + a_2 (m_t - p_t) + v_{1t}, \quad (1)$$

$$m_t - p_t = c_0 + c_1 y_t - c_2 R_t + v_{2t}, \quad (2)$$

$$y_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (p_t - E_{t-1}p_t) + \alpha_2 y_{t-1} + \alpha_3 r_t + u_t, \quad (3)$$

$$m_t = \mu_0 + \mu_1 m_{t-1} + \mu_2 y_{t-1} + e_t, \quad (4)$$

$$r_t \equiv R_t - E_{t-1}(p_{t+1} - p_t), \quad (5)$$

where  $y_t$  is output,  $r_t$  is the *real* interest rate,  $m_t$  is the money supply,  $p_t$  is the price level, and  $R_t$  is the nominal interest rate. Furthermore,  $v_{1t}$ ,  $v_{2t}$ ,  $u_t$  and  $e_t$  are stochastic (white noise) shock terms affecting the various equations of the model. These terms are independent from each other, feature no autocorrelation, and are normally distributed with mean zero and

constant variance, i.e.  $v_{1t} \sim N(0, \sigma_{v_1}^2)$ ,  $v_{2t} \sim N(0, \sigma_{v_2}^2)$ ,  $u_t \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2)$ , and  $e_t \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$ . All variables, except the various interest rates ( $r_t$  and  $R_t$ ) are measured in logarithms. The parameters of the model satisfy:  $a_1 > 0$ ,  $a_2 \geq 0$ ,  $c_1 > 0$ ,  $c_2 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_1 > 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha_2 < 1$ , and  $\alpha_3 > 0$ .

- (a) Interpret the equations of the model.
- (b) Show that the expectational gap,  $p_t - E_{t-1}p_t$ , only depends on the shock terms of IS, LM, AS, and the money supply rule (i.e.  $v_{1t}$ ,  $v_{2t}$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $e_t$ ) but *not* on the parameters of the money supply rule (i.e.  $\mu_0$ ,  $\mu_1$ , and  $\mu_2$ ).
- (c) ★ Characterize the rational expectations solution for the model and show that the *policy ineffectiveness proposition* (PIP) does not hold, unless the real balance effect in the IS curve is absent.
- (d) Define capacity output as  $\bar{y}_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_2\bar{y}_{t-1} + \alpha_3r_t + u_t$  and show that monetary policy cannot be used to stabilize the output gap,  $y_t - \bar{y}_t$ .

### Question 9 ★

[*Contemporaneous information*] Consider the following model of a closed economy featuring rational expectations.

$$y_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1(p_t - E_{t-1}p_t) + u_t, \tag{1}$$

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1(m_t - p_t) + \beta_2E_t(p_{t+1} - p_t) + v_t, \tag{2}$$

$$m_t = \mu_0 + \mu_1m_{t-1} + \mu_2y_{t-1} + e_t, \tag{3}$$

where  $y_t$  is output,  $p_t$  is the price level,  $m_t$  is the money supply, and  $u_t$ ,  $v_t$  and  $e_t$  are stochastic (white noise) shock terms affecting the various equations of the model. These terms are independent from each other, feature no autocorrelation, and are normally distributed with mean zero and constant variance, i.e.  $u_t \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2)$ ,  $v_t \sim N(0, \sigma_v^2)$ , and  $e_t \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$ . All variables are measured in logarithms. The parameters of the model satisfy:  $\alpha_1 > 0$ ,  $\beta_1 > 0$ ,  $\beta_2 > 0$ , and  $0 < \mu_1 < 1$ . The key thing to note is that agents are assumed to possess current aggregate information when estimating the future inflation rate, i.e.  $E_t$  (rather than  $E_{t-1}$ ) features in equation (2).

- (a) Interpret the equations of the model.
- (b) Find the rational expectations solution for output and show that the *policy ineffectiveness proposition* (PIP) does not hold. Explain why this is the case.
- (c) Compute the asymptotic variance of output. Should the government pursue a counter-cyclical monetary policy? Explain the intuition behind your results.

**Question 10 ★**

[*Sticky prices*] Consider the following model of a closed economy featuring rational expectations.

$$p_t = \bar{p}_t - (1 - \delta)(\bar{p}_t - E_{t-1}\bar{p}_t), \quad (1)$$

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1(m_t - p_t) + \beta_2 E_{t-1}(p_{t+1} - p_t) + v_t, \quad (2)$$

$$m_t = \mu_0 + \mu_1 m_{t-1} + \mu_2 y_{t-1} + e_t, \quad (3)$$

$$\bar{y}_t = \zeta_0 + \bar{y}_{t-1} + u_t, \quad (4)$$

where  $p_t$  is the actual price level,  $\bar{p}_t$  is the *equilibrium* price level (see below),  $y_t$  is actual output,  $m_t$  is the money supply, and  $\bar{y}_t$  is full employment output. In equation (1),  $\bar{p}_t$  is the price for which actual output,  $y_t$ , equals its exogenously given full employment level,  $\bar{y}_t$ . As usual,  $v_t$ ,  $u_t$  and  $e_t$  are stochastic (white noise) shock terms affecting the various equations of the model. These terms are independent from each other, feature no autocorrelation, and are normally distributed with mean zero and constant variance, i.e.  $v_t \sim N(0, \sigma_v^2)$ ,  $e_t \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$ , and  $u_t \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2)$ . All variables are measured in logarithms. The parameters of the model satisfy:  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ ,  $\beta_1 > 0$ ,  $\beta_2 > 0$ , and  $0 < \mu_1 < 1$ .

- (a) Provide a brief interpretation of these equations.
- (b) Consider the special case of the model for which  $\delta = 1$ . Compute the rational expectations solutions for output and the price level. Show that the policy ineffectiveness proposition holds.
- (c) Now use the general case of the model, with  $0 < \delta < 1$ , and solve for the rational expectations solution for output and the price level. Can the government pursue a countercyclical monetary policy? Explain the intuition behind your results.
- (d) Compute the asymptotic variance of the output gap,  $y_t - \bar{y}_t$ . Does the degree of price stickiness, as parameterized by  $\delta$ , increase or decrease output fluctuations in the economy? Explain.